

Optimal representation of the bath response function & fast calculation of influence functional coefficients in open quantum systems with BATHFIT 1

Nikesh S. Dattani,^{1,*} David M. Wilkins,^{1,†} and Felix A. Pollock^{2,‡}

¹*Physical and Theoretical Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3QZ, UK*

²*Clarendon Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3PU, UK*

(Dated: March4, 2013)

Today's most popular techniques for accurately calculating the dynamics of the reduced density operator in an open quantum system, either require, or gain great computational benefits, from representing the bath response function $\alpha(t)$ in the form $\alpha(t) = \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}$. For some of these techniques, the number of terms K in the series plays the lead role in the computational cost of the calculation, and is therefore often a limiting factor in simulating open quantum system dynamics. We present an open source MATLAB program called BATHFIT 1, whose input is any spectral distribution function $J(\omega)$ or bath response function, and whose output attempts to be the set of parameters $\{p_{\bar{K}}, \Omega_{\bar{K}}\}_{\bar{K}=1}^K$ such that for a given value of K , the series $\sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}$ is as close as possible to $\alpha(t)$. This should allow the user to represent $\alpha(t)$ as accurately as possible with as few parameters as possible. The program executes non-linear least squares fitting, and for a very wide variety of forms for the spectral distribution function, competent starting values are used for these fits. For most forms of $J(\omega)$, these starting values, and the exact $\alpha(t)$ corresponding to the given $J(\omega)$, are calculated using the recent Padé decomposition technique - therefore this program can also be used to merely implement the Padé decomposition for these spectral distribution functions; and it can also be used just to efficiently and accurately calculate $\alpha(t)$ for any given $J(\omega)$. The program also gives the $J(\omega)$ corresponding to a given $\alpha(t)$, which may allow one to assess the quality (in the ω -domain) of a representation of $\alpha(t)$ being used. Finally, the program can calculate the discretized influence functional coefficients for any $J(\omega)$, and this is computed very efficiently for most forms of $J(\omega)$ by implementing the recent technique published in [1]. We also provide a Mathematica program that can perform this last calculation, along with calculating an analytic form for these discretized influence coefficients, for a given analytic representation of $\alpha(t)$.

It is often useful to represent the bath response function $\alpha(t)$ in the form:

$$\alpha(t) = \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}. \quad (1)$$

SETTING

The most popular open quantum system (**OQS**) model is currently the Feynman-Vernon model. In the Feynman-Vernon model, the OQS (denoted by the operator s) is coupled linearly to a set of quantum harmonic oscillators Q_k :

$$H = H_{\text{OQS}} + H_{\text{OQS-bath}} + H_{\text{bath}} \quad (2)$$

$$= H_{\text{OQS}} + \sum_{\kappa} c_{\kappa} s Q + \sum_{\kappa} \left(\frac{1}{2} m_{\kappa} \dot{Q}_{\kappa}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\kappa} \omega_{\kappa}^2 Q_{\kappa}^2 \right). \quad (3)$$

In most models the quantum harmonic oscillators (**QHOs**) span a continuous spectrum of frequencies ω_{κ} and the strength of the coupling between the QHO of frequency ω and the OQS is given by the spectral distribution function $J(\omega)$:

$$J(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{c_{\kappa}^2}{m_{\kappa} \omega_{\kappa}} \delta(\omega - \omega_{\kappa}). \quad (4)$$

For the hamiltonian of the Feynman-Vernon model, the bath response function $\alpha(t)$ is the following integral transform of $J(\omega)$:

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} J(\omega) \left(\coth \left(\frac{\beta \omega \hbar}{2} \right) \cos(\omega t) - i \sin(\omega t) \right) d\omega \quad (5)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{J(\omega) \exp \left(\frac{\beta \omega \hbar}{2} \right)}{2 \sinh \left(\frac{\beta \omega \hbar}{2} \right)} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega, \quad J(-\omega) \equiv J(\omega) \quad (6)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{J(\omega)}{1 - \exp(-\beta \omega \hbar)} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega, \quad J(-\omega) \equiv J(\omega), \quad (7)$$

where, equation 7 can be written in terms of the Bose-Einstein distribution function with $x = \beta \omega \hbar$:

$$f^{\text{Bose-Einstein}}(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-x)}. \quad (8)$$

CONTENTS

Non-linear least-squares fitting of the bath response function to the form $\sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}$

The goal is to represent $\alpha(t)$ by the series $\sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}$ as accurately as necessary, with as low as possible a value of K . Given a value of K , a non-linear least-squares fitting algorithm can be used to represent $\alpha(t)$ by that series very accurately. MATLAB has two such algorithms implemented for easy use: trust region reflective, and Levenberg-Marquardt. Our MATLAB code allows the user to choose either method. This least-squares fitting for a chosen value of K can then be repeated for larger values of K until the resulting RPD has converged to satisfaction.

Since the least-squares fitting algorithm attempts to minimize the function $|\alpha(t) - \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}|$ with respect to the parameters $\{p_{\bar{K}}, \Omega_{\bar{K}}\}$, we call $|\alpha(t) - \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}|$ the *objective function*.

Objective functions and starting values.

The objective function can be obtained for any spectral distribution function from equation 5 by numerical integration, but for many classes of spectral distribution functions, it can be obtained much faster by evaluating analytic formulas. For the first three classes of spectral distribution functions below, the Padé decomposition scheme first described in [6, 7] can already represent $\alpha(t)$ by the series $\sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}$ very accurately with a small number of terms in the series, so one can evaluate $\alpha(t) = \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}$ with larger and larger values of K until convergence is reached, using the expressions for the parameters $\{p_{\bar{K}}, \Omega_{\bar{K}}\}$ given below. For the fourth class of spectral distribution functions below, the objective function $\alpha(t)$ can be calculated very quickly with the closed form analytic expression

presented in that section. There are obviously other spectral distribution functions for which there are closed form expressions for $\alpha(t)$, or analytic series representations, but the forms covered in the four subsections below are enough to represent a wide range of physically relevant spectral distributions. When no closed form expression or analytic series is obtainable for the $\alpha(t)$ for a particular form of $J(\omega)$, one can obtain $\alpha(t)$ from equation 5 by numerical integration.

Non-linear least squares fitting algorithms also typically require *starting values*. For the present case, these are values for the parameters $\{p_{\bar{K}}, \Omega_{\bar{K}}\}$, such that $|\alpha(t) - \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}|$ is close to its *global minimum* with respect to $\{p_{\bar{K}}, \Omega_{\bar{K}}\}$. For the first three spectral distribution function forms below, since the Padé decomposition scheme mentioned above already represents $\alpha(t)$ by the desired series very accurately with a small number of terms, we can use the analytic expressions for $\{p_{\bar{K}}, \Omega_{\bar{K}}\}$ from this scheme (given below) as starting values for the non-linear least-squares fit. We are not aware of schemes which represent $\alpha(t)$ by the desired series for the final two forms of $J(\omega)$ listed below, so choosing good starting values for these cases will not be as simple. The final two subsections below discuss potentially useful strategies for guessing good starting values for these cases respectively, although these are not expected to bring $|\alpha(t) - \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}|$ as close to its global minimum with respect to $\{p_{\bar{K}}, \Omega_{\bar{K}}\}$ as are the starting values derived from the Padé decomposition for the first three cases.

The expressions given below were first presented in the table 1 in the appendix of [1].

Spectral distribution functions of the generalized Lorentz-Drude/Debye (gLDD) form

$$J(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{\pi} \sum_h^{\hat{h}} \left(\frac{\lambda_h \gamma_h}{\gamma_h^2 + (\omega - \tilde{\omega}_h)^2} + \frac{\lambda_h \gamma_h}{\gamma_h^2 + (\omega + \tilde{\omega}_h)^2} \right) \quad (9)$$

$$\alpha(t) = \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t} \quad (10)$$

where:

$p_{\bar{K}}$	$\Omega_{\bar{K}}$	Range
$\frac{\lambda_{\bar{K}}}{\beta \hbar} \left(1 - \sum_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{2\Xi_{\mathcal{N}} \Omega_{\bar{K}}^2}{\xi_{\mathcal{N}}^2 - \Omega_{\bar{K}}^2} \right) + i \frac{\lambda_{\bar{K}} \Omega_{\bar{K}}}{2}$	$-\gamma_{\bar{K}} + i\tilde{\omega}_{\bar{K}}$	$\bar{K} \in [0, \hat{h}]$
$\frac{\lambda_{\bar{K}}}{\beta \hbar} \left(1 - \sum_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{2\Xi_{\mathcal{N}} \Omega_{\bar{K}}^2}{\xi_{\mathcal{N}}^2 - \Omega_{\bar{K}}^2} \right) + i \frac{\lambda_{\bar{K}} \Omega_{\bar{K}}}{2}$	$-\gamma_{\bar{K}} - i\tilde{\omega}_{\bar{K}}$	$\bar{K} \in [\hat{h} + 1, 2\hat{h}]$
$\frac{4\Xi_{\bar{K}} \xi_{\bar{K}}}{\beta \hbar} \sum_h^{\hat{h}} \lambda_h \gamma_h \frac{(\xi_{\bar{K}}^2 - \Omega_h ^2)}{ \xi_{\bar{K}}^2 - \Omega_h^2 ^2}$	$-\xi_{\bar{K}}$	$\bar{K} \in [2\hat{h} + 1, K]$

Here $\{\xi_{\mathcal{N}}, \Xi_{\mathcal{N}}\}$ are the $[\mathcal{N} - 1/\mathcal{N}]$ Padé parameters for the Bose-Einstein distribution function. Expressions for them are given in table I.

Spectral distribution functions of the thermally scaled generalized Lorentz-Drude/Debye (tgLDD) form

$$J(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \tanh \left(\frac{\beta \omega \hbar}{2} \right) \sum_h^{\hat{h}} \left(\frac{\lambda_h \gamma_h}{\gamma_h^2 + (\omega - \tilde{\omega}_h)^2} + \frac{\lambda_h \gamma_h}{\gamma_h^2 + (\omega + \tilde{\omega}_h)^2} \right) \quad (11)$$

$$\alpha(t) = \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t} \quad (12)$$

where:

$p_{\bar{K}}$	$\Omega_{\bar{K}}$	Range
$\frac{\lambda_h}{2} + i \frac{2\lambda_h}{\beta \hbar} \sum_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\Xi_{\mathcal{N}} \Omega_h}{\xi_{\mathcal{N}}^2 - \Omega_h^2}$,	$-\gamma_{\bar{K}} + i\tilde{\omega}_{\bar{K}}$	$\bar{K} \in [0, \hat{h}]$
$\frac{\lambda_h}{2} + i \frac{2\lambda_h}{\beta \hbar} \sum_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\Xi_{\mathcal{N}} \Omega_h}{\xi_{\mathcal{N}}^2 - \Omega_h^2}$,	$-\gamma_{\bar{K}} - i\tilde{\omega}_{\bar{K}}$	$\bar{K} \in [\hat{h} + 1, 2\hat{h}]$
$i \frac{4\Xi_{\bar{K}}}{\beta \hbar} \sum_h^{\hat{h}} \tilde{\lambda}_h \tilde{\gamma}_h \frac{(\xi_{\bar{K}}^2 - \Omega_h ^2)}{ \xi_{\bar{K}}^2 - \Omega_h^2 ^2}$,	$-\xi_{\bar{K}}$	$\bar{K} \in [2\hat{h} + 1, K]$

Here $\{\xi_{\mathcal{N}}, \Xi_{\mathcal{N}}\}$ are the $[\mathcal{N} - 1/\mathcal{N}]$ Padé parameters for the Fermi-Dirac distribution function. Expressions for them are given in table I.

Spectral distribution functions of the Meier-Tanor (MT) form

$$J(\omega) = \frac{\pi \omega}{2} \sum_h^{\hat{h}} \frac{\lambda_h}{(\gamma_h^2 + (\omega + \tilde{\omega}_h)^2)(\gamma_h^2 + (\omega - \tilde{\omega}_h)^2)} \quad (13)$$

$$\alpha(t) = \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t} \quad (14)$$

where:

$p_{\bar{K}}$	$\Omega_{\bar{K}}$	Range
$\frac{\lambda_h}{2} + i \frac{2\lambda_h}{\beta h} \sum_{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\Xi_{\mathcal{N}} \Omega_h}{\xi_{\mathcal{N}}^2 - \Omega_h^2},$	$-\gamma_{\bar{K}} + i\tilde{\omega}_{\bar{K}}$	$\bar{K} \in [0, \hat{h}]$
$\frac{\lambda_h}{2} + i \frac{2\lambda_h}{\beta h} \sum_{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\Xi_{\mathcal{N}} \Omega_h}{\xi_{\mathcal{N}}^2 - \Omega_h^2},$	$-\gamma_{\bar{K}} - i\tilde{\omega}_{\bar{K}}$	$\bar{K} \in [\hat{h} + 1, 2\hat{h}]$
$i \frac{4\Xi_{\bar{K}}}{\beta h} \sum_h^{\hat{h}} \tilde{\lambda}_h \tilde{\gamma}_h \frac{(\xi_{\bar{K}}^2 - \Omega_h ^2)}{ \xi_{\bar{K}}^2 - \Omega_h^2 ^2},$	$-\xi_{\bar{K}}$	$\bar{K} \in [2\hat{h} + 1, K]$

Here $\{\xi_{\mathcal{N}}, \Xi_{\mathcal{N}}\}$ are the $[\mathcal{N} - 1/\mathcal{N}]$ Padé parameters for the Bose-Einstein distribution function. Expressions for them are given in table I.

$$J(\omega) = A\omega^s e^{-\omega/\omega_c} \quad (15)$$

$$\alpha(t) = A\Re \left(\beta^{-(s+1)} \left(\psi^{(s)}(z(t)) + \psi^{(s)}(z(t) + 1) \right) \right) + iA\Im \left(\frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{(\beta z(t))^{s+1}} \right), \text{ where,} \quad (16)$$

$$z(t) \equiv \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_c} + it \right), \text{ and,} \quad (17)$$

$$\psi^{(s)}(z) \equiv \frac{d^{s+1}}{dz^{s+1}} \ln \Gamma(z). \quad (18)$$

For $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (ie, if s is a non-negative integer), our definition of $\psi^{(s)}(z)$ in equation 18 is a well-known representation for the *polygamma function*, where $\Gamma(z)$ is the well-known gamma function. For these values of s , the current versions of MATLAB and Mathematica have a built in implementation of the polygamma function that evaluates it in real time. However, there are various different generalizations of the polygamma function for negative and non-integer values of s (some popular examples can be found in [2] and in [5]). Mathematica's generalization of the polygamma function for $s \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ **does not** in fact give $\psi^{(s)}(z)$ as defined in equation 18. Fortunately, Paul Godfrey's implementation of the polygamma function in his MATLAB function `psin(s,z)` **does** give $\psi^{(s)}(z)$ as defined in equation 18 for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s) \geq 0$, and the evaluation is computed in real time. This function can be found on its own [3], or in Paul Godfrey's bigger special functions package [4], both which are available for free at MATLAB Central's File Exchange.

For this spectral distribution function, our recommendation for the starting values is less straightforward than for the above three cases. It may be possible to represent $\alpha(t)$ as a sum of complex-weighted complex exponentials analytically, but unlike the above three cases where these series were derived from the clever Padé decomposition, which is mathematically expected to converge with very few terms in the series, we do not know of any such series that represents $\alpha(t)$ for this $J(\omega)$ such that convergence will be achieved with very few exponentials. Therefore, we recommend that the objective function $\alpha(t)$ is calculated using the analytic formula presented above, and that the starting parameters are chosen based on observing some of its properties, such as its frequency of oscillation and damping rate. Guidelines for choosing starting parameters this way are presented in the subsection below.

Other spectral distribution function forms When $J(\omega)$ is only known in a form such that $\alpha(t)$ is not easily represented by equation 1 (as for the case in subsection , or if $J(\omega)$ is only known numerically), it can be much harder to find good starting values for the non-linear least squares fit. It might be useful to fit $J(\omega)$ to a form for which good starting parameters are easy to choose, such as the first three forms presented above, but if this is not easy, one can calculate the objective function $\alpha(t)$ by numerical integration of equation 5, and as mentioned towards the end of subsection , one can use properties of $\alpha(t)$ as a guide to choose starting values for $\{p_{\bar{K}}, \Omega_{\bar{K}}\}$.

Our recommendation for the starting values for $\{p_{\bar{K}}\}$ is based on the fact that at $t = 0$, equation 1 gives us the relation:

$$\alpha(0) = \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} \quad (19)$$

We recommend to first attempt to fit one term ($p_1 e^{\Omega_1 t}$) to the objective function $\alpha(t)$, with $p_1 = \alpha(0)$ according to equation 19 (bear in mind that $\Im(p_1)$ will then be 0 because $\sin(\omega \cdot 0) = 0$ will nullify the right side of equation 5). The strating parameter for Ω_1 can then be chosen by looking at a plot of the objective function $\alpha(t)$ and comparing it to the expressions:

$$\Re(\alpha(t)) = p_1 e^{\Re(\Omega_1)} \cos(\Im(\Omega_1)t), \quad (20)$$

$$\Im(\alpha(t)) = p_1 e^{\Re(\Omega_1)} \sin(\Im(\Omega_1)t). \quad (21)$$

Based on equation 5 we see that as the temperature gets higher, $\Re(\alpha(t))$ becomes more and more different from $\Im(\alpha(t))$, and therefore more than one damping rate ($\Re(\Omega_K)$) and more than one angular frequency ($\Im(\Omega_K)$) will be needed for a good fit. However, as a crude estimate, we can choose the starting value of $\Im(\Omega_1)$ to be an average of the angular frequencies ($\Omega = \frac{2\pi}{T}$, $T \equiv$ period of oscillations) of the real and imaginary parts of the objective function $\alpha(t)$. Since the effect of $J(\omega)$ on $\alpha(t)$ will cause the frequency of oscillations of $\alpha(t)$ to be less and less sinusoidal over time, we recommend to estimate T of each complex component of $\alpha(t)$ based on the time it takes that component to get to the first quarter (or half) of its first oscillation.

With this starting value for $\Im(\Omega_1)$, the starting value of $\Re(\Omega_1)$ can then be chosen to be an average of the damping rates of the real and imaginary parts of the objective function $\alpha(t)$. For the real part of $\alpha(t)$, the damping rate $\Re(\Omega_{1,\Re})$ can be estimated by observing the time $t_{\alpha_{\Re}^*}$ at which $\Re(\alpha(t))$ attains its first minimum α_{\Re}^* , and then solving the equation:

$$\alpha_{\Re}^* = \exp\left(\Re(\Omega_{1,\Re})t_{\alpha_{\Re}^*}\right) \cos(\Im(\Omega_1)t_{\alpha_{\Re}^*}), \text{ whose solution is} \quad (22)$$

$$\Re(\Omega_{1,\Re}) = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\alpha_{\Re}^*}{p_1 \cos(\Im(\Omega_1)t_{\alpha_{\Re}^*})}\right)}{t_{\alpha_{\Re}^*}} \quad (23)$$

which is an estimate of $\Re(\Omega_{1,\Re})$.

Similarly, the damping rate of the imaginary part of $\alpha(t)$, which we denote by $\Re(\Omega_{1,\Im})$, can be estimated by¹:

$$\Re(\Omega_{1,\Im}) = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\alpha_{\Re}^*}{p_1 \sin(\Im(\Omega_1)t_{\alpha_{\Re}^*})}\right)}{t_{\alpha_{\Re}^*}}. \quad (24)$$

$\Re(\Omega_1)$ is then estimated as an average of $\Re(\Omega_{1,\Re})$ and $\Re(\Omega_{1,\Im})$.

We can then fit the one term function $p_1 e^{\Omega_1 t}$ to the objective function, and then use the resulting fitted values of p_1 and Ω_1 as starting values for a fit of the two term series $p_1 e^{\Omega_1 t} + p_2 e^{\Omega_2 t}$ to the objective function. Since p_2 and Ω_2 are expected to be of the same order of magnitude as p_1 and Ω_1 respectively, we can multiply p_1 and Ω_1 by random numbers near 1 in order to get crude estimates of suitable starting values for p_2 and Ω_2 respectively. In our MATLAB program, these random numbers are obtained using (1+RANDN) in MATLAB, rather than RAND or RANDN, so that these random numbers are more likely to be close to 1 rather than to 0. The resulting values of p_1 , Ω_1 , p_2 and Ω_2 from this fit can then be used as starting values for a three term fit, with starting values for p_3 and Ω_3 chosen as p_2 and Ω_2 were for the two term fit.

Fits to series with larger numbers of terms can be done in a similar way, although when there are many terms in the series, it may be more appropriate (based on equation 19) for the starting value of p_1 to be $\alpha(0)/K$ instead of just $\alpha(0)$, though the other starting values would likely also have to be adjusted, which would not be straightforward.

Note about implementation: Constraints, scaling & step sizes, and weights

Putting constraints on the fitting parameters can help speed up the fits, and can prevent the fitting program from getting lost in a far from optimal local minimum, or the fitted values form becoming grossly unphysical. For example, it helps to implement the constraint $\Re(\Omega_K) < 0$, so that $\alpha(t)$ is not likely to diverge. Adding this as a constraint to the fit will prevent the fitting program from bothering to try values that we know are expected to give bad results, and will therefore speed up the fitting calculation, and could potentially prevent the fitting program from getting ‘lost’ in a region far from the desired global minimum. We have implemented these constraints in BATHFIT’s fitting routine. When K is small, it may also help to implement the constraint $p_1 > 0$, since according to equation 19 and 5, if $K = 1$, $p_1 > 0$.

Since MATLAB’s fitting routines are most easily implemented when the step sizes are the same size, we scale the objective function’s range to be between 0 and 1, and its domain is mapped to $t \in [0, 1]$, which significantly helps preventing the fitting program from getting lost in regions far from the desired global minimum. After the fit is complete, we then scale $\{p_K, \Omega_K\}$ back to SI units.

We also provide the user with the option of assigning weights to each datapoint of the objective function. For example, if the user requires the first 100fs of $\alpha(t)$ to be represented very accurately, and does not require $\alpha(t)$ to be represented very accurately after 500fs, the user can assign weights accordingly. Often this can also help to get a graphically better fit, since the local minimum found by BATHFIT won’t always be the closest to the global minimum, or even if the global minimum is attained, it may have been influenced too strongly by certain parts of $\alpha(t)$ which are not so important.

¹ Since this expression uses the amplitude p_1 which is derived from $\Re(\alpha(t))$, and $\Re(\alpha(t))$ is expected to deviate more and more from $\Im(\alpha(t))$ as the temperature is increased (based on equation 5), this estimate is expected to be best at low temperatures.

Analytic coefficients for the discretized influence functional

Once $\alpha(t)$ is represented in the form of equation 1, the **DIFs** (discretized influence functional coefficients, which are required if using a Feynman integral to calculate the $R\rho D$ of open quantum systems modeled by the Feynman-Vernon model), can be calculated very quickly by the analytic formulas which were presented in [1]. These formulas are listed again below, along with analogous formulas for spectral distribution functions of the form $J(\omega) = A\omega^s e^{-(\omega/\omega_c)}$. For this latter form for the spectral distribution function, $\alpha(t)$ can once again be fitted to the form of equation 1, and the DIFs can therefore be calculated with the first set of formulas below, but since we have an exact analytic form for $\alpha(t)$ that can easily be integrated with respect to t , we have also presented analytic forms for the DIFs for this form of $J(\omega)$, which do not require $\alpha(t)$ to first be fitted to the form of equation 1.

Spectral distribution functions with bath response functions of the form $\alpha(t) = \sum_{\bar{K}}^K p_{\bar{K}} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} t}$

Trotter splitting

$$\eta_{kk'} = 4 \sum_{\bar{K}=1}^K \frac{p_{\bar{K}}}{\Omega_{\bar{K}}^2} \sinh^2(\Omega_{\bar{K}} \Delta t/2) e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}}(k-k')\Delta t}, \quad 0 \leq k' < k \leq N, \text{ and} \quad (25)$$

$$\eta_{kk} = 2 \sum_{\bar{K}=1}^K \frac{p_{\bar{K}}}{\Omega_{\bar{K}}^2} \left(\sinh(\Omega_{\bar{K}} \Delta t/2) e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} \Delta t/2} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\bar{K}} \Delta t \right), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N. \quad (26)$$

Strang splitting

$$\eta_{N0} = 4 \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{p_{\bar{K}}}{\Omega_{\bar{K}}^2} e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}}(t-\Delta t/2)} \left(\sinh^2(\Omega_{\bar{K}} \Delta t/4) \right), \quad (27)$$

$$\eta_{00} = \eta_{NN} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{p_{\bar{K}}}{\Omega_{\bar{K}}^2} \left(e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}} \Delta t/4} \sinh(\Omega_{\bar{K}} \Delta t/4) - \Delta t \Omega_j/4 \right), \quad (28)$$

$$\eta_{k0} = 4 \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{p_{\bar{K}}}{\Omega_{\bar{K}}^2} \sinh(\Omega_{\bar{K}} \Delta t/2) \sinh(\Omega_{\bar{K}} \Delta t/4) e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}}(k\Delta t - \Delta t/4)}, \quad (29)$$

$$\eta_{Nk} = 4 \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{p_{\bar{K}}}{\Omega_{\bar{K}}^2} \sinh(\Omega_{\bar{K}} \Delta t/2) \sinh(\Omega_{\bar{K}} \Delta t/4) e^{\Omega_{\bar{K}}(t-k\Delta t - \Delta t/4)}. \quad (30)$$

Spectral distribution functions with exponential cut-offs

QUAPI

When the bath is nearly adiabatic, it is helpful to rewrite equation 3 as [8, 9]:

$$H = H_{\text{OQS}} - H_{\text{displacement}} + H_{\text{OQS-bath}} + H_{\text{bath}} + H_{\text{displacement}} \quad (31)$$

$$= H_{\text{OQS}} - \sum_{\kappa} \frac{c_{\kappa}^2 s^2}{2m_{\kappa} \omega_{\kappa}^2} + \sum_{\kappa} c_{\kappa} s Q + \sum_{\kappa} (\frac{1}{2} m_{\kappa} \dot{Q}_{\kappa}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\kappa} \omega_{\kappa}^2 Q_{\kappa}^2) + \sum_{\kappa} \frac{c_{\kappa}^2 s^2}{2m_{\kappa} \omega_{\kappa}^2} \quad (32)$$

$$\equiv H_{\text{OQS,displaced}} + \sum_{\kappa} c_{\kappa} s Q + H_{\text{bath,displaced}}. \quad (33)$$

$H_{\text{displacement}}$ is called the “counter term”, and can also be represented in terms of the spectral distribution function by recognizing that when the QHOs span a continuous spectrum of frequencies ω_{κ} , we have the relation (remembering equation 4):

$$\sum_{\kappa} \frac{c_{\kappa}^2}{2m_{\kappa} \omega_{\kappa}^2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{J(\omega)}{\omega} d\omega. \quad (34)$$

A Feynman integral used to calculate the $R\rho D$ for an OQS denoted by the hamiltonian $H_{\text{OQS,displaced}}$, is called a QUAPI, which stands for **Quasi-Adiabatic Propagator Feynman² Integral**. For QUAPI calculations, all η coefficients remain the same as for a

² The term ‘path integral’ is used more commonly than ‘Feynmann integral’ here, but this term is ambiguous. Currently, the first result on the search engine at www.google.com, when the search query ‘path integral’ is entered, is a Wikipedia page that currently links to three different meanings of the word ‘path integral’: (1) line integral, (2) functional integration, and (3) path integral formulation. Only the third of these is unambiguously the Feynman integral discussed in this paper. The ‘line integral’ is an integral over a path, rather than over a set of paths; and the term ‘functional integral’ can refer to at least three types of functional integrals: (1) the Wiener integral, (2) the Lévy integral, and (3) the Feynman integral.

feynman integral used to calculate the $R\rho D$ for an OQS whose hamiltonian has not been displaced according to equations 313233; except for the $\eta_{kk'}$ coefficients when $k = k'$ ($\forall k \in [0, N]$), which change to (see supplementary material of [1]):

$$\eta_{kk}^{\text{QUAPI}} = \eta_{kk} + \frac{i\Delta t}{\hbar\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{J(\omega)}{\omega} d\omega, \text{ for } k \in [0, N] \quad (35)$$

$$\equiv \eta_{kk} + \frac{i\Delta t}{\hbar\pi} \lambda, \quad (36)$$

where in the last line we have defined the “bath reorganization energy” by λ . An analytic expression exists for λ for most forms of $J(\omega)$ presented in this paper:

	$J(\omega)$	λ
gLDD	$J(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{\pi} \sum_h^{\hat{h}} \left(\frac{\lambda_h \gamma_h}{\gamma_h^2 + (\omega - \tilde{\omega}_h)^2} + \frac{\lambda_h \gamma_h}{\gamma_h^2 + (\omega + \tilde{\omega}_h)^2} \right)$	$\sum_h^{\hat{h}} \lambda_h$
MT	$\frac{\pi\omega}{2} \sum_h^{\hat{h}} \frac{\lambda_h}{(\gamma_h^2 + (\omega + \tilde{\omega}_h)^2)(\gamma_h^2 + (\omega - \tilde{\omega}_h)^2)}$ $A\omega^s e^{-(\omega/\omega_c)^q} s > 0, \omega_c > 0, q > 0$	$\frac{\pi^2}{8\gamma(\gamma^2 + \omega_0^2)} \sum_h^{\hat{h}} \lambda_h$ $\frac{A}{q} \omega_c^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{q})$

APPENDIX

Table I. $[\mathcal{N} - 1, \mathcal{N}]$ Padé parameters for the Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac distribution functions (first presented in [7] and in more detail in [6]). $\{\Xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}, \xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}\}$ can be calculated easily for all $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$, for arbitrary values of $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$ in our open source MATLAB program that supplements this paper. The matrix Λ is a $2\mathcal{N} \times 2\mathcal{N}$, and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a $2\mathcal{N}-1 \times 2\mathcal{N}-1$. The indices $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$ run from 1 to half the number of non-zero eigenvalues of the corresponding matrix. The number of non-zero eigenvalues will always be even, because the eigenvalues of these particular matrices come in pairs, for example $(\xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}, -\xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}})$; and for matrices with an odd number of eigenvalues, the unpaired eigenvalue will always be 0.

$\{\pm \xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}\} = \frac{2}{\beta h \cdot \text{eigenvalues}(\Lambda)}$	$\{\pm \zeta_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}\} = \frac{2}{\beta h \cdot \text{eigenvalues}(\tilde{\Lambda})}$
Bose-Einstein	Fermi-Dirac
$\Lambda_{mn} = \frac{\delta_{m,n\pm 1}}{\sqrt{(2m+1)(2n+1)}}$	$\Lambda_{mn} = \frac{\delta_{m,n\pm 1}}{\sqrt{(2m-1)(2n-1)}}$
$\tilde{\Lambda}_{mn} = \frac{\delta_{m,n\pm 1}}{\sqrt{(2m+3)(2n+3)}}$	$\tilde{\Lambda}_{mn} = \frac{\delta_{m,n\pm 1}}{\sqrt{(2m+1)(2n+1)}}$
$\Xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}} = (\mathcal{N}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{N}) \frac{\prod_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}=1}^{\mathcal{N}-1} (\zeta_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}^2 - \xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}^2)}{\prod_{\bar{\mathcal{N}} \neq \bar{\mathcal{N}}}^{\mathcal{N}} (\xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}^2 - \xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}^2)}$	$\Xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}} = (\mathcal{N}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}) \frac{\prod_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}=1}^{\mathcal{N}-1} (\zeta_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}^2 - \xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}^2)}{\prod_{\bar{\mathcal{N}} \neq \bar{\mathcal{N}}}^{\mathcal{N}} (\xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}^2 - \xi_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}^2)}$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N.S.D. thanks the Clarendon Fund and the NSERC/CRSNG of/du Canada for financial support. F.A.P. thanks the Leverhulme Trust for financial support.

* nike.dattani@chem.ox.ac.uk

† david.wilkins@seh.ox.ac.uk

‡ felix.pollock@physics.ox.ac.uk

[1] Nikesh S Dattani, Felix A Pollock, and David M Wilkins. Analytic Influence Functionals for Numerical Feynman Integrals in Most Open Quantum Systems. *Quantum Physics Letters*, 1(1):35–45, 2012.

[2] Olivier Espinosa and Victor H. Moll. A generalized polygamma function. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 15(2):101–115, April 2004.

[3] Paul Godfrey. Polygamma Function of Arbitrary Order Valid Complex Plane, 2004.

[4] Paul Godfrey. Special Functions math library. *MATLAB Central: File Exchange*, 2004.

[5] Nathaniel Grossman. Polygamma Functions of Arbitrary Order. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 7(3):366, April 1976.

[6] Jie Hu, Meng Luo, Feng Jiang, Rui-Xue Xu, and Yijing Yan. Padé spectrum decompositions of quantum distribution functions and optimal hierarchical equations of motion construction for quantum open systems. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 134(24):244106, June 2011.

[7] Jie Hu, Rui-Xue Xu, and Yijing Yan. Communication: Padé spectrum decomposition of Fermi function and Bose function. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 133(10):101106, September 2010.

[8] A. Leggett, S. Chakravarty, A. Dorsey, Matthew Fisher, Anupam Garg, and W. Zwerger. Dynamics of the dissipative two-state system. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 59(1):1–85, January 1987.

[9] N MAKRI. IMPROVED FEYNMAN PROPAGATORS ON A GRID AND NONADIABATIC CORRECTIONS WITHIN THE PATH INTEGRAL FRAMEWORK. *CHEMICAL PHYSICS LETTERS*, 193(5):435–445, June 1992.